UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,122	10/04/2005	Takayuki Yako	P02052US2A	4092
48985 7590 06/13/2008 BRIDGESTONE AMERICAS HOLDING, INC. 1200 FIRESTONE PARKWAY AKRON, OH 44317			EXAMINER	
			RABAGO, ROBERTO	
micon, on	AKKON, 011 44317		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/552,122	YAKO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Roberto Rábago	1796			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION The state of the	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35.U.S.C. 8.133)			
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 Ma</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p				
Disposit	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	7) Claim(s) <u>17,20-22,26,27 and 30-34</u> is/are objected to.					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So on is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) [a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical polication from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3/11/2008	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate			

Application/Control Number: 10/552,122

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 2/27/2008 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US 6,737,470 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 35 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DuBois et al. (US 5,336,726) for the reasons set forth in item 2 of the Office action mailed 11/29/2007.

Claim 25 is added to this rejection. The reference discloses the molecular weight range of up to 10,000 corresponds to the *peak* molecular weight. Mw generated from GPC data reflects a value which is higher than the peak value, and therefore the disclosure of up to 10,000 in peak molecular weight corresponds to Mw of substantially

Art Unit: 1796

greater than 10,000. Accordingly, the range of claim 26 which requires Mw to be between 10,000 and 35,000 is obvious over the reference disclosure.

Applicant's arguments filed 3/11/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive except with respect to claim 26 which has been removed from this rejection. Applicants argue that the final polymers in DuBois have terminal phenolic groups, and lack a terminal silicon containing functional group. This concept is not contested; however, the reference disclosure is not limited to the final product. Instant claims 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 24, 25 and 37 require nothing more than a single polymer, and therefore the product of DuBois prior to removal of the silyl groups meets the claims. Such a polymer would be a "curable sealant composition" because the polymer would be curable and would have sealant properties under some conceivable circumstances. Instant claims 28, 29 and 35 are open-ended regarding additional process steps, and do not recite that the final polymer is required to have any terminal silicon-containing groups. Accordingly, applicants' argument is not accepted because it argues for limitations (i.e., terminal silicon-containing groups) which are not required in the claims.

3. Claim 36 is allowed. Claims 17, 20-22, 26, 27 and 30-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1796

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Roberto Rábago/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

RR June 9, 2008